	Case 4:13-cv-00123-FRZ-PSOT Docu	ment 2 Filed 03/01/13 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10		
11	JOHNNY BANTA,	
12	Plaintiff,) 2:13-cv-00222-JCM-PAL)
13	VS.	ORDER
14	UNITED STATES, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	<u> </u>
16	Plaintiff, who is proceeding <i>pro se</i> , has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.	
17		
18		
19	Tucson, Arizona.	
20	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity	
21	jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants	
22	reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions	
23	giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action	
2425	is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in	
26	which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).	
20	In this case, none of the defendants re	eside in this district. The claim arose in Pima County,

Case 4:13-cv-00123-FRZ-PSOT Document 2 Filed 03/01/13 Page 2 of 2